

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/717,698	CESANA ET AL.
	Examiner Jacob F. Betit	Art Unit 2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jacob F. Betit. (3) Jack Friedman (attorney of record).
 (2) Sam Rimell (primary examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,5-10,12,13,17,20 and 31-36.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph were discussed. The applicant agreed to allow the examiner to cancel claims 1,2,5-10,12,13,17,20 and 31-36 and to deny entry of the amendment to the specification to avoid any further rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required